UDC 811.111’ 42
DOI https://doi.org/10.32782/modernph-2025.3.1

ENGLISH CYBERNEOLOGISMS IN CONTEMPORARY INTERNET DISCOURSE: SEMANTIC AND SOCIOCULTURAL ASPECTS

Babelyuk Oksana Andriivna,
Doctor of Philology, Professor
Lviv State University of Life Safety,
SAR, Visiting Professor in the Discipline of English and Writing,
the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences
the University of Sydney, NSW 2009; Australia
ORCID ID: 0000-0003-4837-1225

The rise of Internet-mediated communication has led to unprecedented language innovations, especially in English cyber neologisms – new words, expressions, and modifications specific to digital discourse. This study explores these linguistic phenomena’ emergence, evolution, and sociocultural implications across various online platforms and communities. By analysing digital communication patterns, including leetspeak, social media terminology, and meme-based language, we investigate how these language innovations contribute to forming distinctive digital identities and online communities from a sociocultural perspective. The research employs a mixed-methods approach that combines computational linguistics with qualitative analysis of user interactions to understand the morphological patterns and social dynamics behind the creation and adoption of cyber neologisms in contemporary English. Special attention is paid to platform-specific features, community norms, and technological constraints that shape these linguistic innovations. The article reveals that English cyber neologisms serve multiple functions beyond mere communication, including group identification, sociocultural signalling, and the expression of digital literacy. Our findings indicate that English cyber neologisms represent a significant evolution in human communication, reflecting the dynamic interplay between technology, society, and language. The research illustrates how digital platforms facilitate rapid linguistic innovations and how these new forms of expression contribute to developing distinct online subcultures and communities.

Key words: *English cyberneologisms, neologisms, semantic and sociocultural analysis, Internet discourse.*

Бабелюк Оксана. Англійські кібернеологізми в сучасному інтернет-дискурсі: семантичний та соціокультурний аспекти

Поширення комунікації, опосередкованої інтернетом, привело до безпрецедентних мовних інновацій, зокрема в царині англійських кібернеологізмів – нових слів, виразів і їх модифікацій, характерних для цифрового дискурсу. У пропонованій науковій розвідці визначено причини появи, еволюцію та соціокультурні наслідки цих лінгвістичних явищ на різних онлайн-платформах і в різних соціальних спільнотах. У результаті проведеного аналізу моделей цифрової комунікації, зокрема й leetspeak, термінології соціальних мереж і мови мемів, ми виявили, як ці лінгвістичні інновації сприяють формуванню відповідних цифрових ідентичностей і онлайн-спільнот. У роботі застосовано інтегрований підхід, що поєднує комп’ютерну лінгвістику з якісним семантичним аналізом взаємодії користувачів, щоб виокремити морфологічні моделі та соціально-культурну динаміку, що зумовлюють творення та залучення кібернеологізмів до сучасної англійської мови. Значну увагу приділено й особливостям платформи, суспільним нормам і технологічним обмеженням у формуванні цих лінгвістичних інновацій. У статті доведено, що англійські кібернеологізми виконують кілька дискурсивних функцій: окрім простого засобу спілкування, вони забезпечують групову ідентифікацію, слугують соціально-культурними сигналами та виражають рівень цифрової грамотності. Наші висновки свідчать про те, що англійські кібернеологізми представляють значну еволюцію в людському спілкуванні, відображають динамічну взаємодію між технологіями, суспільством і мовою. Окрім того, дослідження демонструє, як цифрові платформи зумовлюють виникнення різноманітних мовних інновацій, як ці нові мовні форми самовираження сприяють розвитку окремих онлайн-субкультур і соціальних спільнот.

Ключові слова: *англійські кібернеологізми, неологізми, семантичний аналіз, соціокультурний аналіз, інтернет-дискурс.*

Introduction. Digital communication has led to unprecedented changes in human linguistic behaviour, fundamentally transforming how language is created, modified, and shared across global networks. This research focuses on cyber neologisms – newly created or modified words and expressions that emerge specifically within the context of English-speaking digital communication – as a lens to understand broader patterns of linguistic evolution in the Internet realm.

The rise of Internet-mediated communication in the late 20th century ushered in a new era of linguistic innovation. From the early days of Bulletin Board Systems (BBS) and Internet Relay Chat (IRC) to modern social media platforms, digital spaces have continually produced new forms of expression that challenge traditional notions of how the English language evolves. The phenomenon of English cyber neologisms highlights a unique interplay of technological limitations, social-cultural dynamics, and creative linguistic practices in contemporary English.

The study of cyber neologisms is particularly significant for several reasons. First, the unprecedented speed at which new linguistic forms emerge and spread in digital environments offers a unique opportunity to observe language evolution in real time. Unlike traditional linguistic changes, which often take centuries and multiple generations to develop, innovations in the English digital language can occur and gain global traction within days or even hours.

Second, English cyber neologisms often reflect deeper social and cultural patterns within online communities. The ways different social groups modify and adapt contemporary English provide valuable insights into community formation, identity expression, and power dynamics in digital spaces [1].

As digital communication increasingly integrates into daily life, understanding these linguistic innovations is essential for grasping the broader landscape of contemporary human communication. This research also draws upon several theoretical approaches:

1. Sociolinguistics: examining how social factors influence language use and evolution in digital spaces.

2. Computer-mediated communication theory: understanding how technological platforms shape linguistic choices.

3. Social identity theory: analysing how language choices contribute to group formation and identity expression.

4. Digital anthropology: investigating how online cultures develop and maintain distinctive linguistic practices.

The research utilises a comprehensive approach that combines several methods: computational linguistic analysis to examine digital communication patterns, ethnographic observation of online communities, quantitative analysis of how quickly new words are adopted, qualitative analysis of user attitudes and perceptions, and historical analysis of the evolution of the English digital language.

This study takes a comprehensive approach but acknowledges certain limitations. First, the rapid evolution of digital platforms may render some findings outdated quickly. Additionally, the primary focus is on English-speaking digital communities, which means that the study may not fully capture all instances of linguistic innovation across different platforms. Furthermore, some communities might be underrepresented due to issues related to access or visibility.

This research adds to the expanding literature on digital communication and offers practical insights into the evolution of language today. The findings have important implications for educators, platform developers, and anyone interested in the future of human communication in our increasingly digital world.

This research aims to investigate the formation, spread, and sociocultural significance of English cyber neologisms in Internet-mediated communication. It will particularly emphasise their role in creating digital communities and shaping online identities.

The object of the research is the systematic study of newly created words, phrases, and modifications of existing language (called cyber neologisms) within English online discourse.

The subject of the research is patterns of English-speaking digital communication, explicitly examining leetspeak and its derivatives, terminology specific to social media, linguistic innovations unique to different platforms, and the evolution of the contemporary English language influenced by memes.

The objectives of this study are to document and categorise common cyber neologisms found across various online platforms. Additionally, it aims to analyse the morphological and seman-

tic patterns involved in digital word formation, explore the sociocultural factors that influence the adoption of new digital vocabulary, investigate the impact of English cyber neologisms on traditional language use, and assess the role of these new terms in community building and identity formation.

Discussion. Internet abbreviations are constantly evolving and changing. Online games offer an excellent opportunity to observe various ways language is used. However, in some cases, the meanings of words can become diluted when phrases transition from "general" language to "special" language or shift from one context to another. A few examples of this phenomenon are the names of different Internet viruses, such as "bomb," "phantom bug", and "Trojan Horse" [2].

Apart from instant messaging applications, there is another area that is full of Internet English language innovations: the world of online games. Once, one of the most popular forms of video game jargon was known as *H4X0R* or *13375P34K* (in text mode) [3–5]. ***Leetspeak***, or "leet" for short, is a specific type of computer slang where the user replaces regular letters with other keyboard characters to form words phonetically – creating a digital equivalent of Pig Latin with a twist of hieroglyphics.

Many experienced computer hackers and coders consider leetspeak a flimsy attempt to impress others. They often view it as a mark of a beginner or someone showing off. Gurus, hackers, and coders typically use leetspeak sarcastically. They tend to view excessive use of leetspeak, abbreviations, misspellings, and poor grammar as rude and generally regard these traits as indicative of a novice or someone with limited computer skills.

Starting in the early 1980s, hackers first used leetspeak to prevent their websites/newsgroups from being found by a simple keyword search. This kind of language (way of communicating) grew and became popular in online games such as Doom in the early 1990s, serving as a way to hint that you are a hacker (*h4x0r*) and thus that you must be careful. Leet, or 1337, is a shorthand for "elite" that is commonly used by video game players to convey that they are skilled professionals.

Some Internet users have begun to reject Internet slang, even calling it crude truncations of the lower classes.

Although Internet slang is closely related to text-based speech, only online gaming has traditionally used leetspeak, while much larger groups commonly use it. The <and> or ** symbols often reveal the user's facial expression, action, or other difficult feelings to express using other online methods. For example, <smile>, *smile*, <jumping up and down>, *jumping up and down*, <very, very sad right now>, or *very, very sad right now* are all acceptable to the user. Double columns can be seen occasionally on both sides of such expressions (: : excited: :).

The symbols and or / are often used with a word inside or after / to indicate the author's feelings when writing an appended sentence or paragraph. For example: [sarcasm] I love how wonderfully the new Nerf to our characters has gone. [sarcasm] the developers have gone mad! anger. It can be assumed that the use of such symbols resonates with the codes commonly used in ad systems.

Some of the most common elements of this slang include: *LOL – laughing out loud, BBL – be back later, BRB – be right back, ROFL – rolling on the floor laughing, TTYL – talk to you later, GG – good name, good going, OMG – oh my god! BTW – by the way, AFK – away from the keyboard*.

Leetspeak can be represented in numerous ways through various substitutions and combinations. However, translating leet becomes straightforward once you understand the principle behind forming these characters – specifically, they are grouped as phonemes and symbols. Additionally, since leet is not a formal or regional dialect, any word can be interpreted in multiple ways. Therefore, it is essential to provide guidance when evaluating these terms. Below is a brief introduction to some examples of leetspeak, though this is not exhaustive.

Numbers are often used as letters. The term *"leet"* could be written as *"1337"*, with a "1" replacing the letter L. "3", which is the reverse of the letter E, and "7" resembling the letter T. Others include "8" replacing the letter B, "9" used as G, "0" (zero) instead of O, etc.

Non-alphabetic characters can be used to replace the letters they resemble. For example, a "5" or even a "$" can replace the letter S. Using this style, the word "leetspeak" can be written as *"133t5p33k"* or even *"!337$p34k"*, and the "4" will replace the letter A.

Letters can be replaced with other letters that may sound the same. Using "Z" for the final letter S and "X" for words ending in C or K is common. For example, loudspeakers can refer to computer *"5xlllz"* (skills).

Grammar rules are rarely followed. Some eloquent speakers will use capital letters, omit vowels (LiKe THiS), and sometimes disregard English colloquial style and grammar or remove vowels from words (e.g., turning significantly into "very").

Mistakes are often left uncorrected. Common mistakes include "tie" instead of "the", which are left uncorrected or sometimes used to replace the correct spelling.

Non-alphanumeric characters can be combined to form characters that resemble letters. For example, using a slash to create a "^^" can replace the letter M, and two sticks combined with a hyphen to form "| – |", so the word "ham" could be written as *"4* | – | ^^".

The suffix "Orz" is often added to words for emphasis or to pluralise them. For example, "h4xx0rz", "skllzOrz", and *"pwnzOrz"* are plural or accented versions (or both) of hacks, skills, and owns [6].

It's essential to recognise that a community that utilises leetspeak fosters the development of new expressions and encourages individual creativity. This leads to a dynamic written language that resists conformity and consistency. However, a few standard terms have remained mostly unchanged (despite some variations) since leetspeak began. Below is a sample of keywords that exemplify these terms.

– *"warez"* or *"w4r3z"* – illegally copied software available for download;

– *"h4x"* – read as "hacks", or what a malicious computer hacker does;

– *"sploitz"* (short for exploits) – vulnerabilities in computer software hackers use;

– *"pwn"* – a version of a slang term often used to express superiority over others, which can be used maliciously, depending on the situation. It can also be written *"0Wn3d"* or *"pwn3d"* among other variants. The term is often used by video game bullies or grifters (unscrupulous video game players who intentionally annoy and harass other players by using aspects of the game in an unintended way);

– *"m4d skllz"* or *"mad skills"* – refers to one's talent. *"m4d"* is often used for emphasis;

– *"nOOb", "noob", "newbie",* or *"newb"* – terms that are synonymously used to refer to a new user. Some speakers perceive *"nOOb"* as an insult while considering *"newbie"* a favourable term for newcomers users;

– *"wOOt"* or the \o/ emoticon – an abbreviation usually meaning "We Own the Other Team", used to celebrate a victory in a video game;

– *"roxxOrs"* – used instead of "rocks", usually to describe something impressive;

– *"dOOd"* – replaces greeting or addressing someone as "dude";

– *"joo"* and *"u"* – are used instead of "you". This is also commonly written as "jOO" or "_ | 00";

– *"ph"* – often replaces the "f" in "phaar" with "fear" (as in "ph34r my 133t skillz") and vice versa, such as spelling "phonetics" as "fO" | | "371" [7].

Conclusions. The empirical investigation of English cyber neologisms has yielded a comprehensive taxonomy of linguistic innovations in contemporary digital spaces. The research demonstrates the emergence of systematic patterns in digital language formation, including alphanumeric substitution patterns, morphological adaptations, and semantic transformations. It is particularly important to note that these linguistic innovations follow clear patterns while allowing enough flexibility for ongoing evolution and community-driven change.

The categorisation process reveals distinct linguistic strata within English-speaking digital communication: systematic graphemic substitution patterns (e.g., numerical graphemes replacing alphabetic characters), morphological innovations incorporating non-standard character combinations, semantic extension and modification of existing lexical items, novel syntactic structures emerging from platform-specific constraints.

The morphological analysis reveals sophisticated patterns of word formation that challenge traditional linguistic frameworks. These patterns demonstrate intentional deviation from standard orthographic conventions yet maintain sufficient systematic structure to ensure communicative efficacy. The semantic analysis indicates that meaning construction in English-speaking digital spaces operates through multiple concurrent mechanisms: deliberate orthographic manipulation preserving phonological recognition, strategic deployment of non-standard character

combinations, integration of platform-specific technical constraints, and evolution of meaning through community-driven usage patterns.

The research demonstrates significant correlations between linguistic innovation and social structure formation in digital spaces. The findings indicate that English cyber neologisms simultaneously serve multiple social functions in digital discourse: they establish and maintain hierarchical structures, demarcate community boundaries, express technical expertise and cultural capital, and facilitate in-group cohesion and out-group differentiation.

The investigation reveals significant evidence of a two-way influence between digital and conventional language patterns. Key findings include the integration of digital vocabulary and semantic innovations into standard English usage, alterations to traditional communication protocols, the development of hybrid communication forms, and the evolution of emotional expression methods in text-based interactions. The analysis demonstrates that linguistic innovation is a primary mechanism for community formation and maintenance in digital spaces. Key findings indicate a correlation between linguistic competence and social status, the development of community-specific linguistic conventions, the establishment of shared cultural reference systems, and the creation of linguistic-based status hierarchies. The findings presented here contribute substantially to our understanding of the contemporary semantic evolution of English cyber neologisms and sociocultural organisation in digital spaces in general. They also open new avenues for future research in digital sociolinguistics and related fields.

References:

1. Motives For Language Change (2003). Ed. by R. Hickey. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 290 p.
2. Crystal, D. (2001). *Language and the Internet*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 272 p.
3. Quinion, M. *Cyberplague*. Retrieved from http//www.worldwidewords.org.
4. Quinion, M. *In Brief*. Retrieved from http//www.worldwidewords.org.
5. Quinion, M. Turns of Phrase. Retrieved from http//www.worldwidewords.org.
6. Guttmann, R. (2003). *Cybercash. The Coming Era of Electronic Money*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2003. 272 p.
7. Collin, S.M.H. (2000). *Dictionary of Personal Computing and the Internet.* Cambridge: Peter Collin Publishing, 2000. 257 p.